UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,506	10/03/2005	Mao-Hsiung Yen	U 015722-1	8980
140 LADAS & PAF	7590 07/08/200 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61ST	STREET	PESELEV, ELLI		
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,506	YEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Elli Peselev	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS  The proposed emendment/s) filed ofter a final rejection by	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201122
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying ti	ie issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,8-15,18,31-33,35-40,44-47 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>51-59</u> .		
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t hoforo or on the data of filing a N	otica of Appaal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe vand was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Elli Peselev/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1623	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, applicants arguments have not been found persuasive because as discussed in the Final Office action, the claimed compounds are not still seen to be enabled. For example, a compound of structural formula I wherein R1 and R2 are hydrogens, and a compound of formula I wherein R1 and R2 together are heterocycles having any number of carbon atoms and any number of any of the heteroatoms would not be expected to possess similar activity. Furthe, the disclosure of two specific compounds wherein n=0, does not provide support for claiming a broad range of compounds wherein n is 0 or 3. The teminology "substituted phenyl" encompases any substituent and any number of substituents, for which there is no support in the specification, as originally filed. With respect to the treatment claims, applicant has submitted an attachment stating that there is evidence that specifically blocking TNF-alpha allrviates sympthoms and sometimes halts pathology associated with inflammatory diseases. However, applicant has not provided any evidence that pharmacological testing of bacalein set forth on pasges 36-38 of the specicarion is associated with the diseases encompassed by the method claims. With respect to the prior art rejection of the compound claims, note that the teaching of the eference is not limited to the specific examples or preferred embodiments.